Exhibit 5

```
Page 1
 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 3
 4
     ESTATE OF ESTHER KLIEMAN, et al.,)
 5
               Plaintiffs,
                                        ) Civil Action
 6
    vs.
                                        ) No. 04-1173 (PLF/JMF)
 7
     THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY;
     et al.,
 8
               Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
                 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF AFIF SAFIEH
15
                         JERUSALEM, ISRAEL
16
                         FEBRUARY 10, 2011
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
     REPORTED BY: BRENDA MATZOV, CA CSR NO. 9243
```

Page 86

Q. And on what date did the PLO create the Palestinian National Authority?

A. You know that the negotiations in Oslo, the back channel, were from the beginning of '93 until August of '93. And that agreement, on the interim period, was signed on the White House lawn on the 13th of September, '93. And a couple of months later, Yasser Arafat had it endorsed by the Executive Committee of the PLO. And Mr. Zanoun convened a session of the Central Council to endorse that agreement that was signed and to give its green light for the creation of it.

But once that was decided, the PA, or PNA, starts to have its own autonomous existence with its own institutions. And if you remember, it all started with the Gaza-Jericho agreement. And the Israelis withdrew out of the urban centers of the West Bank in December '95, allowing the presidential elections of early '96.

But, by the way, these decisions were not easy to take. There was a lot of persuasion needed. But they were moments of hope. We would always say that history now is in the making. And we were unreasonably reasonable to give hope a chance.

Q. At the time the PLO created the Palestinian

1 Fatah the dominant party?

A. Now you're taking too much on the word "dominant." In 1996, yes. And it was so comfortable in Palestinian public opinion that there were certain factions that were not on the official list of Fatah, but who wanted to run for the legislative elections, who ran as independents against the official list. And a few of them won in those elections against the officials.

So it was comfortable, and we were speaking still at the moment of political hope. That election occurred two months after the Israeli withdrawal out of the urban centers. I remember, from London, I had sent to Bethlehem 12 bagpipes donated by the Lord Provost, Lord Mayor of Glasgow. And I remember the student -- the scout movement playing -- and it's an instrument I detest -- playing the bagpipes to celebrate the withdrawal out of the urban center of Bethlehem. And that was before it was caged --

Q. Thank you.

A. -- and all the urban centers of the West Bank. So there was a moment of hope.

And in Palestinian politics, always bear in mind there's the vehicles of hope and the vehicles of anger.

Page 87

National Authority in 1993, did the PLO cause the Palestinian National Authority to become separately incorporated?

A. Again, I --

MR. HIBEY: I'm going to object to the use of the word "incorporated."

THE WITNESS: I'm not comfortable, and I don't know what you mean by "separately incorporated."

MR. HIBEY: Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: The Palestinian National Authority is a result of a bilateral agreement, with the world as our witness, between the government of Israel and the PLO, negotiating on behalf of the totality of the Palestinian people to create a self-governing body in the territories occupied in '67, which are the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and Gaza. And the organs that emanated would be organs that represent that constituency.

Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.

A. So it's a new dynamic for a totally separate structure. But the green light for its birth was given by the PLO, who had negotiated on behalf of the Palestinian people. Yes.

Q. Thank you.

At the time of the elections in 1996, was

Q. At the time of the 1998 session of the PNC,

2 was Fatah the dominant party?

A. You make me regret having used the word "dominant party."

And as I told you, dominant party was a concept I used. I borrowed it from the political science lexicon, the continental European politics. But I use that word.

In '98, it was still a moment of hope. And I would still consider Fatah to have been in a comfortable situation. Especially each PNC session takes place in a particular moment, and the environment is important.

An American president was coming to attend our session. He was also there to inaugurate the first airport, the Gaza airport, that functioned slightly for a couple of months and was then bombed out of existence.

So it was a moment of hope. Then it was easy to ask people: We are re-amending what we have already done, amending the charter, and let's do it again. So people saw hope in the presence of Clinton and the opening of the airport, et cetera. The atmospherics were conducive for Fatah to be extremely comfortable.

A meeting today, when Palestinians would have just read in the paper that 12 dunams and a half have been confiscated here, at a walking distance from the

Page 89

Page 88

1

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

18

19

20

Page 130

goal of Palestinian statehood in the final status.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But on day-to-day management, et cetera, the Palestinian cabinet is responsible and accountable to the Palestinian Legislative Council and not to any other.

Q. It's true, is it not, that the Palestinian Authority remains responsible for the negotiations with the State of Israel?

MR. HIBEY: Excuse me. He's not here as a Palestinian Authority witness.

MR. HEIDEMAN: But he testified earlier that the Palestine Liberation Organization was responsible for the negotiations with the State of Israel.

MR. HIBEY: Yes.

MR. HEIDEMAN: And I'm trying --

MR. HIBEY: But you are putting a question to him about the Palestinian Authority. He is not in the chair for the Palestinian Authority.

MR. HEIDEMAN: I understand that.

Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: But I would like to know, sir, on behalf of the Palestine Liberation Organization, who is responsible for negotiations with the State of Israel during the time period after the creation of the Palestinian Authority?

Was it the PLO, or was it the PA?

Page 132 to the Palestine Liberation Organization Executive

2 Committee?

Isn't that true?

A. There is a supremacy of the PLO in the sense that the PLO is the body that encapsulates and represents the totality of the Palestinian people. The PA represents only a segment of those people that happen to be in those territories designated as the West Bank and Gaza.

So there is supremacy of the PLO on the PA, if you want. But they are two distinct entities. The PNA was created through a positive opinion of the PLO organ. So it's the PLO that decided, after agreement with the Israelis, to create the Palestinian National Authority.

But once the Palestinian National Authority was created, it has a distinct, separate, autonomous existence with organs accountable one to the other, such as the cabinet, the ministry to the Palestinian Legislative Council and to the electorate in the final analysis.

Q. But the Palestinian Authority was made accountable to the PLO Executive Committee; isn't that true?

MR. HIBEY: I'm going to object. That

Page 131

MR. HIBEY: Excuse me. I object to the question because you have to be more precise about the negotiations you're talking about. The witness has made it very clear what negotiations fell in the bailiwick of the PLO.

Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: The Palestinian security forces that were established were under the command of Chairman Arafat; isn't that correct?

A. He was the overall official commander of all the security institutions. But each one of them had its own existence. And from 2000 onwards, they had received a serious blow that disrupted their normal functioning.

Because, unfortunately, in every Israeli action against -- in response or in preemption of Palestinian possible activity, it was the Palestinian security forces that were targeted, ending in them becoming totally incapacitated, meaning that their headquarters, their branches, their offices, their mobility, capabilities in cars, and whatever were damaged and incapable of moving from one area to the other.

Q. And before we break for lunch, it's true, is it not, that the ultimate authority of the Palestinian Authority is the Palestine Liberation Organization and the Palestine -- Palestinian Authority is accountable

question has been asked and it has been answered. 1

2 And I don't think that you should be putting it to 3 him again.

Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: Not withstanding the objection, please answer the question.

It's true, is it not, the Palestinian Authority was made accountable to the PLO Executive Committee?

MR. HIBEY: I am going to object. It's been 10 asked and answered.

11 THE WITNESS: I think I will take the advice 12 of my counsel.

Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: Please answer the auestion.

MR. HIBEY: Don't answer the question.

16 Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: It's true, it is not, 17 that --

MR. HIBEY: Don't answer the question. MR. HEIDEMAN: Certify that to the Court, please.

21 Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: It's true, is it not, 22 that the Palestinian Authority remains responsible 23 for the negotiations with the State of Israel as 24 of --

25 MR. HIBEY: Regarding what? Page 133